REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested in light of

the above amendments and the following remarks.

During a telephone interview on June 16, 2009 between Examiner Samuel and the

undersigned, Examiner Samuel indicated that claims 9 and 10 should be amended to refer to

some structure, in order to satisfy 35 USC 101 as presently construed by the USPTO and the

Federal Circuit. The examiner did not propose any amendments but rather requested us to

review the application to determine proper amendments. The examiner agreed that the above

amendments to clams 9 and 10, lines 3 and 2, respectively, to refer to "a step of measuring, by a

signal power measurer, reception power of a known signal," would satisfy 35 USC 101. Support

for the amendments is provided in for example application Fig. 9.

Therefore, allowance of claims 9 and 10 is deemed to be warranted.

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance,

and a notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/James Edward Ledbetter/

Registration No. 28,732

James E. Ledbetter

Date: June 19, 2009

JEL/DWW/att

Attorney Docket No. 009289-05158

Dickinson Wright PLLC

1875 Eye Street, NW, Suite 1200

Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 659-6966

Facsimile: (202) 659-1559

DC 9289-5158 138240

7